

PROCEEDINGS OF THE FOURTH SESSION OF THE MIZORAM
LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY HELD AT THE ASSEMBLY HALL FROM
25.9.73 TO 10.10.74

6th SITTING 3RD OCTOBER, 1973

PRESENT

Pu H'hansanga M.A., B.T., Speaker in the Chair,
Chief Minister and four Ministers and Twenty seven
Members.

SPAKER: 'Owe no man anything, but to love one
another; for he that loveth another
hath fulfilled the Law'.

ORAL ANSWERS TO STARRED QUESTIONS

Police Check Gate at Vairengte:

PU J.THANGHUAMA

Will the Hon'ble Minister i/c
Home Department be pleased
to state -

- (a) When was the Police Check Gate opened at Vairengte?
(b) How many cases have been detected since its opening?

PU CH.CHHUNGA
CHIEF MINISTER

The Police Check post or Gate
at Vairengte was opened in
1957, and 76 persons were
detected as having no proper
permits. Out of which 75 were on breach of Motor
Vehicle Act, while the remaining one violated the
Bengali Eastern Regulation Act. Many others were sent
back without arrests.

PU J.THANGHUAMA

Mr. Speaker Sir, Police Check
Gate at Vairengte was opened in
1957 and 76 Cases has been
detected during the period
1957-73, 75 of them are on Motor Vehicle Act Cases,
while the remaining one was the case of no entry per-
mit.

Is not the Police Check Gate
established to detect plain people without entry permits?

Mn/-

...2/-

I want to know whether the Police Check Gate at Vairengte checked the holders and non-holders of entry permits.

Thirdly, some days ago Sher Ali Khan and his son, Robin of Cachar District entered Mizoram without entry permits, and were arrested by the Police 27.9.73 at Sairang. The person responsible for their entry is Tulania of Bara Bazar, Aizawl, who employed them as labourers. Has the Government known of this?

PU CH. CHANTIGA: CHIEF MINISTER: Mr. Speaker Sir, if a person reach the Police Check Gate without entry permit and if they are detected, instead of persecuting them, they sent them back. Sometimes they even detected such cases at Kolasib, from where they sent them back. Sometimes they even sent them back from Aizawl itself.

The case of Sher Ali Khan has not been brought to the notice of the Govt. nor is it necessary to report this to us because the Govt. authorities will take appropriate action. It is good that the matter was detected and I am sure appropriate action would have been taken.

PU J. THARAGUMIA: Mr. Speaker Sir, it has been said that there was no detection of any case at the Police Check Gate. The carelessness in checking can be found from the way the non-tribals could come up as labourers. Whether the Check Gate is to continue functioning like this or has the Govt. intended to impose restriction as is done at Chatpuni in Nagaland?

PU SANGWEMA: Mr. Speaker Sir, one question, who is the authority to issue such entry permits, whether the Deputy Commissioner of Aizawl or Cachar Deputy Commissioner?

PU C. CHANTIKLIGA: Mr. Speaker Sir, non-tribals can enter Mizoram from places like Bairabi, Konhman besides Vairengte road. Is it confirmed that those non-tribals enter Mizoram only through Vairengte gate?

PU CH.CHHUNGA
CHIEF MINISTER

Mr. Speaker Sir, check post was made at Bairabi earlier, but was withdrawn since the outbreak of disturbance and the condition was unfavourable for the Police to continue. I think they can enter Mizoram plying river boats, but I have not heard the report of cases that they come from that side, at least they are not brought to our notice. The Government is considering the improvement of Vairengte check gate.

The authority to issue entry permits is the Dy. Commissioner, as provided by the Rules. Regarding the issue of entry permit, the rule provided that the Dy. Commissioner can issue entry permits, and the Dy. Commissioner can further authorise his Subordinate Officers to issue such permits. Hence, our officers at Silchar have been authorised. We face certain difficulties these days because some important VIDs sometimes visit us, and face difficulties. Therefore the officers at Silchar are authorised to issue the permits and we will see if this work alright.

PU ZALAWMA:

Mr. Speaker Sir, Katamonia Demag-iri Road was made truckable road, even upto Kawte Village.

Government intended to open a Check gate at Kanhmun to check illegal entries?. And another check gate at Bairabi, for those plying boats? (Speaker: Though the question does not cover so far, I permit if he can reply).

PU CH.CHHUNGA
CHIEF MINISTER

Mr. Speaker Sir, though the question does not cover that far, and since there is no report of illegal entries, we neglect that side bit. But later on we may have to open a check gate at Bairabi too.

PU SAPLEIMA:

Mr. Speaker Sir, our Hon'ble Chief Minister seemed to say that the Deputy Commissioner of Silchar is authorised to issue entry permits, because of which there are many entries of ~~non~~tribals. As practised in other States, we better post Magistrate or high Officer at Vairengte who shall issue such permits, I want to know whether the Govt. have not intended to take such steps or intended but could not carry out yet?.

PU CH.CHHUNGA
CHIEF MINISTER

Mr.Speaker Sir, I think he misunderstood my idea. It is not the Silchar D.C. that issue entry permits, rather the D.C. Aizawl authorised and empowered our Gazetted Officers at Silchar, in the Supply Office of Mizoram, to issue such entry permits to the needy. We have not intended to post Magistrate or any high Officer at Vairengte to check and issue entry permits, this may not be very practical.

PU LALKUNGA

Mr.Speaker Sir, our Officers at Silchar too are non-tribals, and I don't want them empowered to issue such permits. Whether the D.C Aizawl empowered such subordinate officers to issue permits by his own accord or the Govt. compelled him to do so?

PU CH.CHHUNGA
CHIEF MINISTER

Mr.Speaker. the DC empowered and authorised such officers, not that the Govt. compelled him. It is meant for the time being, as I have said, Govt. left it to be re-examined. The Govt. shall see whether the permit is good or not, but each of us may have different idea in this regard. We have to carefully consider whether we shall restrict entrance of non-tribals, or to let only few enter or to liberalise their entries. In my opinion, we should not restrict entrance of non-tribals if they are to stay one or 2 months only; such persons contribute some of our economic earnings. In other States, tourists are one of the sources of income. Similarly, if we have tourists we shall have some income derived from them. But if we say that such entries will violate our existing Rules, we shall try to stop their entries. I think what we like is to be very strict in matters of trading and other business. We may ask if we should be very strict regarding their entries. We shall discuss it later on.

Inner Line Permits:

* 49 PU C.LALRUATA:

Will the Hon'ble MINISTER i/c Home Department be pleased to state -

...5/-

- (a) Under what terms and conditions are Inner Line Permits issued by Government.
- (b) Number of Inner Line Permits issued during the period from 1.2.72 to 31.7.73?
- (c) Number of such permits issued to Foreigners?

PU CH.CHHUNGA
CHIEF MINISTER

Mr. Speaker Sir, in respect of Inner Line Permit issued under Bengal Eastern Frontier Act, 1873 to non-tribals, the pass will have to be shown in original on demand to any Magistrate, Police Officer and to any other Govt. official authorised in that behalf. The pass is subject to good conduct of grantee during his stay in Mizoram. On arrival at the destination the Pass holder should report his arrival at the nearest Police Station or Out-post.

In respect of Inner Line Permit issued to Foreigners, under Foreigners (Protected Areas) Order, 1958, the Permit holder should not leave his place of stay without obtaining prior approval of Govt. and should not remain in Mizoram after expiry of the permit 618 Inner Line Permit were issued during the period from 1.2.72 to 31.7.73. Two foreigners were issued such Inner Line Permit

PU SAILLAWMA:

Mr. Speaker Sir, supplementary question: If the Aizawl DoC has issued Inner Line Permit will be able to cover other Districts? will it enable the Pass-holders to visit other Districts, such as Lunglei or Chhingtui-pui District?

PU CH.CHHUNGA
CHIEF MINISTER

Mr. Speaker Sir, the Pass-holder shall make clear his desired destinations, but cannot visit other places.

PU C.LALRUATA

Mr. Speaker Sir, what are the business of these 618 Inner Line Permit holders, and their conduct? Is it necessary for Govt. officials of Central India or foreigners to have such Inner Line Permits if they come to work as Govt. Servant in Mizoram?

Nm/-

....6/-

PU CH. CHHUNGA:
CHIEF MINISTER.

Mr. Speaker Sir, mostly travellers are issued Inner Line Permits; Govt. Servants need not hold the permit.

PU SAPLIANA:

Mr. Speaker Sir, whether Inner Line Permits are to be checked only at Vairengte check post, or will it be checked at Janglei and Saiha, where they have already stayed for some time? This question concerns foreigner too. There could be certain difficulties to issue Inner Line Permits to foreigner from Vairengte or Silchar, in case of peoples from Burma and Bangladesh. As such, does the Govt. propose to make other arrangement for peoples from these countries?

PU CH. CHHUNGA:
CHIEF MINISTER.

Mr. Speaker Sir, arrangement may be made when necessity arises.

PU ZALAWMA:

Mr. Speaker Sir, does the B.R.T.F. and their forwarding Contractors need to take Inner Line Permits?

PU CH. CHHUNGA:
CHIEF MINISTER

Mr. Speaker Sir, out of these granted 618 Inner Line Permits, I think labourers will be included. The BRTF should formally register their labourers, which is done through Police (authority) Stations. Their Contractors are to obtain Inner Line Permits, as they are not Govt. Servants. The uninformed BRTF personnels need no Inner Line Permits.

PU LALHMEINCHHANGA:

Mr. Speaker Sir, Hon'ble Chief Minister said that 618 Inner Line Permits were issued so far. It appears that a Business firm or Contractor obtains permits for its employees, but, say, when the contractor finished his works, his employees retain their permits and thus remain in Mizoram searching for other employments. Unless the Govt. checks the validity of the stay period, there could be lots of misuse of permits, and does the Govt. ^{have} any plan to check this?

Nm/-

.....

PU CH. CHHUNGA:
CHIEF MINISTER.

Mr. Speaker Sir, if the validity of stay period has not expired, nothing can be done, but after the validity of stay period of the Pass expired, action shall be taken, but the manner of checking is another matter. This does not concern Govt. policy. If the permit expired, it is merely a matter of violating the rules. Very often permits are obtained even beyond the period they really need the services of those persons. Especially in case of good artisans the permits are repeatedly renewed. As long as the permits are valid, we have nothing to say, it is only in cases where there are over-stayed beyond validity period of permits, where we must be alert. When there is any suspicion or doubt the permits can always be checked.

PU C. LAERUATA:

Mr. Speaker Sir, where from these 2 foreigners come and what is the purpose of their coming to Mizoram?

PU CH. CHHUNGA:
CHIEF MINISTER.

Mr. Speaker Sir, one is Pi Zomawii, who has previously served in Serkawn where her services are badly needed so much so that they desire to have her permit period extended. The other is the wife of Pu Chinghuna of the Salvation Army.

PU K. SANGCHHUM:

Mr. Speaker, Supplementary question: Sangau is an important route between Burma and India and citizens of Burma are known to frequent this place.

But there is not a single competent authority here to issue Inner Line Permits. Under that circumstance how and under what rules do they enter? Is the Govt. aware of their entry? As they often deal in smuggled goods, it is a serious matter. If the Govt. is aware that they do really come, had any actions been taken against them?

PU CH. CHHUNGA:-
CHIEF MINISTER.

Mr. Speaker Sir, the Government has not yet considered such cases. If I am not mistaken, within the boundary line of some distance there is an agreement to permit free movements without need to obtain permits. I think the limit is about 25 miles on both sides. As such there is no order requiring possession of permits in those areas.

Nm/-

...8/-

PU K. SANGCIEUM: Mr. Speaker Sir, the Indian Citizens who enter Burma are sometimes arrested and given troubles. Can our government ~~do~~ *do something with the Burmese government* towards this matter?

PU CH. CHUNGA: Mr. Speaker Sir, if the circumstances under which they are arrested is known, I am sure any of us would like to help them if our assistances are sought. But the effectiveness of such assistance would be another thing.

PU SAITLAWMA: Mr. Speaker Sir, supplementary question: In respect of Inner Line Permit, there is a certain so-called Army Contractor at Zobawk, Lunglei District, the labourers arrived their destination in the month of March, 1973, it has been pointed out in the question hour some days ago that the requisite Inner Line Permits were procured from Aizawl only in the month of July/73 would this be a proper procedure?

PU CH. CHUNGA: Mr. Speaker Sir, no.
CHIEF MINISTER.

PU SAITLAWMA: Mr. Speaker Sir, such illegal procedure was brought to the notice of the Govt. and what does the government propose to take action on that?

PU CH. CHUNGA: Mr. Speaker Sir, this was mentioned in the House, but nothing had been done yet.
CHIEF MINISTER.

Complaints from Mizoram Police Personnel trained at Agartala.

*50: PU K. L. ROCHAMA: Will the Hon'ble Minister i/c Home Department be pleased to state -

(a) Whether Govt. have received any complaints from the new recruits Mizoram Police Force Personnel who are undergoing training in Agartala?

(b) If so, what are the complaints?

Mn/-

...9/-

(c) Whether it is a fact that one trainee, named Pu Lalpuia Sailo died while undergoing training due to not getting timely medical aid?

PU CH. CHEUNGA: (a) No.
CHIEF MINISTER

(b) Does not arise.

(c) No.

Share of local people in Mizoram Police:

* 51: PU K. L. ROCHAMA: Will the Hon'ble Minister
i/c Home Department be
pleased to state -

(a) Whether it is a fact that the Department of Police did not adhere to the order for the share of locals and non-locals in the recruitment during 1973-74?

(b) If so, what is the percentage of locals enlisted?

PU CH. CHHUNGA: (a) No.
CHIEF MINISTER.

(b) Does not arise.

PU K. L. ROCHAMA: Mr. Speaker Sir, Supplementary question:
In Lunglei, 72 constables are enlisted in Unarmed Branch out of which 56 are locals, 16 non-locals, Hon'ble member said that it is 22% non-locals, while Govt. proposed it to be 20% whether non-locals are enlisted because there is no sufficient persons from locals, and what is the meaning of this percentage?

PU CH. CHHUNGA: Mr. Speaker Sir, in the whole police
CHIEF MINISTER. set-up the prescribed percentage has not been acrossed. There being many places where the non-locals are far below this percentage, an excess of only two persons in the case can be ignored.

PU C. LALRUATA: Mr. Speaker Sir, let alone the percentage, is it with expectation for better discipline that we provided for so big a percentage?

PU CH. CHEUNG: Mr. Speaker Sir, it is with expectation
CHIEF MINISTER. of better discipline that we included
non-locals.

PU K. L. ROCHAMA: Mr. Speaker Sir, we all know that selec-
tion of Police was made carefully at
Aizawl and other places, I believed
they won't be able to inform the
percentage of Aizawl, Lunglei and other places. On
the eve of their departure from Lunglei, one fell ill;
on hearing of this vacancy our Miz. youths who did well
in all the tests and Interview applied for it. But does
the Govt. know that the Superintendent of Police filled
this vacancy with 2 non-locals?.

PU CH. CHEUNG: Mr. Speaker Sir, we have not heard it.
CHIEF MINISTER. There was no detail break-up for rec-
ruitment at Aizawl, Lunglei or Saih.
The only thing was that there should
be 25% non-locals in Armed Branch and 20% in Unarmed
Branch. As long as the prescribed percentage in the over
all set-up is maintained, there is no percentage fixed
for locals and non-locals for recruitment made indifferent
Station.

Proposal to invoke D.I.R. for Control of Prices.

* 52: PU LAISANGZUALA: Will the Hon'ble Minister
i/c Supply Department be
pleased to State -

(a) Whether the Govt. proposed to invoke DIR for the
Control of prices of essential commodities in
Mizoram?.

(b) If so, why?.

PU R. TRINGLIANA: *Mr. Speaker, Sir,*
as the Government does not find DIR
Plan necessary enforcement is not yet
considered.

PU LAISANGZUALA: Mr. Speaker Sir, Supplementary question:

(a) Is the Essential Commodities Act
enforced in Mizoram? (b) Has the Central Home Ministry
really instructed the Chief Ministers of India including
our Hon'ble Chief Minister to treat Adulterators, brakers
of Orders and Procedures without pity on the 16th-Sep/72?.

Nm/-

...11/-

If so, in what manner action has been taken against such adulterators etc in Mizoram?

PU R. THANGLIANA: Mr. Speaker Sir, The Table 144
MINISTER. of the D.I.R. gives the power to control prices, which we may say is enforced in Mizoram. In such items as K.oil, petrol Diesel etc., control is resorted to only when there is apprehension of scarcity. Rice may be said to be partially controlled, because those procured through F.C.I. are controlled others are not controlled. Wheat, Cement, G.C.I. sheets etc., are controlled commodities.

Let me expand my earlier reply. Since we do not feel that non-tribal hawkers are not considered to have any noticeable adverse effects on the market, I have said that we do not consider it justified to apply DIR yet.

As it was mentioned earlier, there is no known, adulteration etc practiced here as in other places, and if such cases are known, we would be applying the same methods as is done elsewhere.

Allotment of G.C.I. Sheets for Mizoram:

* 53: PU LAISANGZUALIA: Will the Hon'ble Minister i/c Supply Department be pleased to state -

- (a) Whether it is a fact that the Central Govt. have allotted 3,500 Bundles (350 Tonnes) of GCI sheets for Mizoram?
- (b) If so, how many bundles would be made available for civilian (public) use?

PU R. THANGLIANA: Mr. Speaker Sir, only 3000 Tonner
MINISTER. (bundles) have been allotted, it is for public use and not for any Department. The 3000 bundles are on their way.

PU LAISANGZUALA:

Mr. Speaker Sir, Supplementary question: Since the Secretariat and Directorate of Departments Control Control G.C.I. sheets etc., the general public has many grievances, hence will it not be better that Supply Controlables and Building materials be distributed proportionately District-wise and that the Director should have no separate quota?

Is Food Grain Control Order enforced in Mizoram?

Has the Government proposed to set up Supply Advisory Board in the District level?

PU R. THANGLIANA:
MINISTER.

Mr. Speaker Sir, the questions appear to me that whether the D.C. of each District are not authorised to distribute essential commodities allotted by the Central Government. The D.Cs of each District are authorised and allotted cement, G.C.I. sheets etc., In the manner of distribution prepared by the Directorate in the District population wise-basis, the Southern side get more than the Northern side. In respect of Food Grain Control, there is possibility of enforcement, but since we draw our Commodities from FCI Godown which is already controlled, there is nothing to be re-controlled. GCI Sheets, Cement etc. shall be distributed, District-wise. The D.C. shall have to lift them, formerly the Directorate draft with the work, the D.C. submitted their requirements to the Directorate and lifted their shares.

I don't know about the proposal to constitute Supply Advisory Boards, the D.Cs may need to constitute it, the D.Cs may constitute it without need of instructions. Suppose, if they had already constituted this in Lunglei District, it would not be wrong to give instruction now.

PU ZALAWMA:

Mr. Speaker Sir, if the D.C makes the allotment at Aizawl, why is it that we never know him distributing GCI sheets and Cements?

PU R. THANGLIANA
MINISTER.

Mr. Speaker Sir, while we were under Assam the D.C. was the head, who was assisted by an ADS and other directorate-staff.

Nm/-

But now that Aizawl becomes the capital everything is done directly by the Director in respect to the Aizawl District. But in Lunglei and Chhittuipui Districts everything, including rice, is distributed by the respective D.Cs.

Lifting of quarterly quotas of materials for Mizoram:

*54:PU LALSANGZUALA: Will the Hon'ble Minister i/c Supply Department be pleased to state -

- (a) Whether it is a fact that quarterly quotas of materials like Ms Roads and Cement allotted by the Govt, for Mizoram since May, 1972 have not *been* lifted and that the allotment have since lapsed?
- (b) If so, why?.

PU R.THANGLIANA:
MINISTER.

Mr. Speaker Sir, there is no failure to lift our allotments, even of rice.

PU ZALAWMA:

Mr. Speaker Sir, how many ton of Iron Road (M/S Rod) have been allotted and lifted since Union Territory Government?.

PU R.THANGLIANA:
MINISTER.

Mr. Speaker Sir, we demanded M.s rod (Iron Rod) which has not yet come, the reason seems that our former D.C. Pu Ray was in-charge of the Supply at Calcutta, who have not received our demands for GCI sheets, M.s Rod (Iron Rod) etc., which appeared to be misplaced somewhere. I have orally informed Central Ministers and they allot us GCI Sheets, and informed me that Iron (M/S) Rods shall have to be considered lateron, but which has not come yet. Tenderers or Contractors were called for Iron (M/S Rod) for Eastern region, and we were requested to inform them of any person interested in it. Such is the situation, I hope we soon shall receive those supplies but it has not come yet.

PU ZALAWMA:

Mr. Speaker Sir, we have waited those one and half years in vain. Is it a fact that the M/S rods and cements were to be delivered

Nm/-

by the Liaison Officer at Calcutta on payment of cost, but the permit lapsed because our government had delayed matters?.

PU R. THANGLIANA: Mr. Speaker Sir, I thought that was the reply for Pu Sangzuala's question, Pu Ray told me that since you could not lift allotment of CGI sheets, it has since lapsed on the other hand he said he has not received any demand for it, I was confused and know not the correct position. I enquired from our Director about it, telling him the information I received from Mr. Ray. The Director told me we have not received such allotments, and ordered them to re-check as this question arose, accordingly they re-checked and found out nothing. Besides, cement is an extraordinary item since there is no government account system; CGI Sheet, is available on Govt. account, we have sent Rs 600,000/- or more for the delivery of 186 Tonnes which is on its way. Cement is outside Govt. account, we have dealers, like P & Sons, M.K. Guha, Pu Vanhlira etc. who submit the receipt copy to the Supply Department and take delivery at Silchar on payment. Our dealers never failed to lift them so the rumours must be wrong, there is no such thing.

Carriage of Supplies and other Govt. Stores.

*55: PU LAISANGZUALA: Will the Hon'ble Minister i/c Supply Department be pleased to state -

- (a) How much tonne/Km of Supplies and other Govt. Stores have been carried by the Transport Wing Vehicles during the period 2nd May to 30 June '73
- (b) What was the total expenditure incurred by the Govt. on Transport Wing during the above period?

PU R. THANGLIANA: Mr. Speaker Sir as it is figure I may not remember it correct, Members may mark if they want

11,373.41 tonnes of supplies were carried by the Transport Wing Vehicles during the period 2nd May to 30 June 1973 and the distance covered was 1,32,9009 Km Rs 50,12,323.89 was spent for the purpose.

PU LALKUNGA: Mr. Speaker Sir, supplementary question: I would like to say that the distance (or Km) ~~said~~ said to be covered is wrong. As there is no single Car Diary, the figures worked out are merely a calculation on the basis of 4 km per one litre of petrol for trucks and 5 km per litre for Jeeps. As such does the Ministry aware of the inefficiency of this Department?

PU R. THANGLIANA: Mr. Speaker Sir, I shall be glad to have the correct figure from the MINISTER. Hon'ble Member who said I got the wrong figure.

PU LALKUNGA: Mr. Speaker, I cannot give because the Department concerned is so inefficient.

NO CONFIDENCE MOTION AGAINST MINISTRY:

SPEAKER: Question hour is over. We received a notice, under rule 138 of our rules. It provided that the notice should be submitted to the Secretary before the meeting, this was done accordingly (Read out).

* As per Rule 138 - We have the honour to move motion of No-Confidence on the Ministry led by Pu Ch. Chhunga and a copy of the motion is attached herewith at provided sub-section 2(a)

Yours faithfully,

J. Thanghuama,

Zalawma

Nn

.....16/-

" This House expresses want of confidence on the Ministry led by Smt. Ch.Chhunga for the following reason:-

(1) Mal-administration in Mizoram"

Though it covers a wide range, I find it in proper order and accepted. Pu J.Thanghuama has to obtain leave of the House to move this motion, now let him seek leave of the House.

PU J.THANGHUAMA: Mr.Speaker Sir, I beg to is august House to grant me leave to move the Motion.

SPEAKER: Our Rules provided that if at least 6 Members agree to grant leave, it shall have leave of the House. Those who agree on the motion may please stand up, (6 Members stand up), all right, 6 Members stood up, you may sit down.

As the minimum requisite 6 members stood up, leave has been granted by the House.

But the period of discussion should be not less than 24 hours, not more than 10 days after the admission of the Motion. If so, it is now 12.00 noon, in order to have 24 hours after grant of leave of the House, the earliest possible time will be 12.00 noon tomorrow. But the mover and also the Ministers may want sometime to get themselves prepared. For this reason, we shall not take this up tomorrow.

The next day, 5th October '73 will be Puja holiday, on which we generally never have sitting of the House. Though our Rules provided "normally" we better have no sitting if we can help hence we shall not sit on 5th October, '73. The 6th October, will be recess day, the 7th Oct being Sunday, will be recess. It appears, that the 8th October, '73 will be the first available day for discussion, and I favour discussion of the motion on Monday, the 8th Oct '73.

It is provided that if No confidence motion arises, substantive motion on policy matters should not be taken up in the House. As such, the Bills meant for consideration are not ordinary and deserve careful consideration. Hence we shall not take up other businesses now. Therefore the House is now adjourned. We shall meet again on Monday, the 8th October, 1973 at 11.00 A.M. to discuss this "No Confidence Motion".

Meeting adjourned at 12:00 **Noon**.

(N.C. HANDIQUE)
Secretary,
Mizoram Legislative Assembly.

....

Nemi/-